## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSHUA TAXSON,	CASE No C 4:24-cv-02704-HSG
Plaintiff(s) v.	STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
CUSHMAN&WAKEFIELD U.S., IN Defendant(s)	
Counsel report that they have met and conferred stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADF following ADR process:	
<b>□</b> Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)	
■ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
<b>■ Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge</b> (ADR L.R. 7)	
Private ADR (specify process and provider)  Mediation with private mediator TBD	
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:	
■ the presumptive deadline (90 days from the date of the order referring the case to ADR)	
other requested deadline:	
Date: 7/25/2024	/s/ Pamela A. Triplett
Date: 7/25/2024	Attorney for Plaintiff
	Attorney for Defendant
<ul><li>IT IS SO ORDERED.</li><li>☐ IT IS SO ORDERED WITH THE FOLLOW</li></ul>	WING MODIFICATIONS:
DATE: 7/25/2024	Haywood S. Jull J. U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE